

## **22.14 Issues In Resisting Or Obstructing A Peace Officer, Firefighter, Or Correctional Institution Employee**

To sustain the charge of resisting or obstructing a [(peace officer) (firefighter) (correctional institution employee)], the State must prove the following propositions:

*First Proposition:* That \_\_\_\_ was a [(peace officer) (firefighter) (correctional institution employee)]; and

*Second Proposition:* That the defendant knew \_\_\_\_ was a [(peace officer) (firefighter) (correctional institution employee)]; and

*Third Proposition:* That the defendant knowingly resisted or obstructed the performance by \_\_\_\_ of an authorized act within his official capacity.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that each one of these propositions has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant guilty.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that any one of these propositions has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant not guilty.

### **Committee Note**

#### ***Instruction and Committee Note Approved May 4, 2018***

720 ILCS 5/31-1(a) (West 2018).

Give Instruction 22.13.

Insert in the blanks the name of the peace officer, firefighter, or correctional institution employee.

When accountability is an issue, ordinarily insert the phrase “or one for whose conduct he is legally responsible” after the word “defendant” in each proposition. See Instruction 5.03.